



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/653,691	09/02/2003	Taku Oikawa	9333-356	3491
74989	7590	12/28/2007		
ALPINE/BHGL P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610			EXAMINER CHOI, MICHAEL P	
			ART UNIT 2621	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/28/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/653,691	Applicant(s) OIKAWA, TAKU	
	Examiner Michael P. Choi	Art Unit 2621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Michael P. Choi. (3) James Naughton.  
 (2) Marsha Banks-Harold. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 11 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ando et al. (US 2001/0046371 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: As per discussion with applicant, applicant suggested various additional clarifying language to the claims in response to arguments presented by examiner from the Final Office Action. As per the propositions as reasoned by applicant, a further search as well as additional consideration of claims is required. Therefore, no agreement with respect to the claims was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Marsha D Banks-Harold*  
 MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD  
 SUPERVISOR  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Michael P. Choi*  
 Examiner's signature, if required